1	Matthew C. Smith (State Bar No. 276024)	
1	Santana and Smith Law Firm, P.C.	
2	The Historic Winship Building	
,	500 Second Street	
3	Yuba City, CA 95991	
4	TEL: (530) 822-9500 FAX: (530) 751-7910	
5		
6	Attorney for Defendant Austreberto Santamaria-Valencia	
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9		
10	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No.: 2:21-CR-0079-TLN
12	Plaintiff,	
13	vs.	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXCLUDE TIME
14	AUSTREBERTO SANTAMARIA-VALENCIA,	
15	Defendant.	
16	Defendant.	Date: December 2, 2021
10		Time: 9:30 a.m.
17		Hon. Troy L. Nunley
18	STIPULATION	
19	STIFULATION	
20	1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on December 2, 2021.	
21	2. By this stipulation, defendant. now moves to continue the status conference until Januar	
22	27, 2022, at 9:30 a.m., and to exclude time between December 2, 2021, and January 27, 2022, under	
23	Local Code T4.	
24	The mention same and stimulate and a	agreet that the Count find the fellers in a
,,	3. The parties agree and stipulate, and r	equest that the Court find the following:
25 26	a.) The government has produced the discovery associated with this case including,	
	among other things, investigative reports, photographs, and video recordings.	
27	among other times, investigative reports, photographs, and video recordings.	
28	b.) Counsel for defendant desires	additional time to consult with his client, to review

Case 2:21-cr-00079-TLN Document 20 Filed 12/01/21 Page 2 of 3

the current charges, to conduct an investigation and research related to the charges, to review discovery for this matter, to discuss potential resolutions with his client, and to prepare pretrial motions.

- c.) Defense counsel believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - d.) The government does not object to the continuance.
- e.) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f.) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of December 2, 2021 to January 27, 2022, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Rule T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's findings that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Date: November 29, 2021 /s/ Matthew C. Smith MATTHEW C. SMITH Attorney for Defendant Austreberto Santamaria-Valencia

Date: November 29, 2021 /s/ James R. Conolly James R. Conolly Assistant United States Attorney

1 2 3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27 28

FINDINGS AND ORDER

IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED this 30th day of November, 2021.

Troy L. Nunley

United States District Judge